TENTATIVE EXPANSION OF CONFIGURATION WITHIN THE CA COMPLEX v.0.1

This document presents an expansion of the Configuration sub-category within the C_A Complex. It is based on an the proposals presented on the Ithkuil sub-reddit. The expansion is presented in two different ways:

- The first chart below breaks up each of the 20 proposed configurations into a granular combination of three sub-categories: Membership (indicating the number of members within the/each set), Structure (describing the connection, if any, between set members) and Similarity. Note that these sub-categories do not apply to the UNIPLEX, which comes in two different distinctions. Thus the SEGMENTATIVE Configuration of Ithkuil becomes the MPS/CND in this scheme, and Ithkuil's MULTIFORM becomes the MPF/SEP.
- 2. The second version shows each configuration as an autonomous category with its own name. (Note that, due to the increased granularity, those categories named the same as Ithkuil configurations generally describe a narrower semantic range.

AFFILIATION		CONFIGURATION						Extension		Perspective =		Context + Essence		
		Membership	Structure	Similarity						Number of Sets or Nature of the Set				
CSD	[zero] (I)	UNIPLEX	UXS Specific	[zero]			DEL	[zero]	м	[zero]		NRM	RPV	
ASO	l (tļ)		UXP Potential	mţ			PRX	s / z	Р	t/d (nn)	EXS	[zero]	y (ļy)	
VAR	ř			DPS	DPD Dissim.	DPF Fuzzy	ICP	f/ţ/v/ḍ (fţ)	Ν	k/g (ňň)	FNC	l (nļ)	w (ļw)	
COA	r			Similar			ATV	š / ž	Α	p/b (mm)	RPS	r (x)	ř (xx)	
		DUPLEX	SEP Separate	ţ	ģ	nţ	GRA	ss / zz			AMG	h (lx)	hw (rx)	
			CND Connected	f	v	mf	DPL $\check{S}\check{S} / \check{Z}\check{Z}$ $t+s(s) \rightarrow c(c)$ $t+\check{S}(\check{S}) \rightarrow \check{C}(\check{C})$ $d+z(z) \rightarrow \dot{z}(\dot{z})$ $d+\check{z}(\check{z}) \rightarrow j$							
			FSD Fused	ňf	ňv	ňţ	In addition to the usual combinations above, the following allomorphic substitutions apply:							
				MPS Similar	MPD Dissim.	MPF Fuzzy		<mark>ţ)ţg</mark> dž(ž) →	mḍ	ňfs(s) → ňňz(z) ňfš(š) → ňňž(ž) ňuz(z) → mu(u)	tft \rightarrow sd np \rightarrow mk tfk \rightarrow sg ňk \rightarrow ng tfp \rightarrow sb			
		MULTIPLEX	SEP Separate	t	d	n	• ţs(s)p → (ţš(š)t → (c					$dvd \rightarrow zt$		
			CND Connected	р	b	m	ţs(s)k → (<mark>ḍ)ḍk</mark> mfs(s) –	→ mms	(s) ňţs(s) → ňňs(s)	dvg →			
			FSD Fused	k	g	ň	ţš(š)p → (<mark>ḍ)ḍp</mark> mfš(š) –	→ mmš	(š) ňţš(š) → ňňš(š)	dvb →	zp		

NOTES ON THE ABOVE CHART:

- 1. Values shown in parentheses are the stand-alone forms (when all other sub-category values are zero).
- 2. Non-zero Perspective standalone values (nn, ňň, mm) are also used if Configuration and Extension are both zero but Context+Essence is non-zero.
- 3. Unlike the previous C_A structure from v.0.9.3.1 of the Design Document, the voiced alternative values shown for each category are used whenever a mandatorily voiced consonant is present. For example CND/MPD -b-, plus PRX Extension + POLYADIC Perspective would be -bzd-, not -bst- or -bzt-. When a voiced vs. voiceless distinction exists for a particular category, the only "mixed" voiced+voiceless pairs (or vice-versa) permitted are those associated with allomorphic substitutions, as shown in the chart, e.g., $\mathbf{tft} \rightarrow \mathbf{sd}$.
- 4. When MPD/FSD -g- is followed by ICP Extension and a non-zero Perspective, use -d- rather than -v- as the ICP value, thus -gdd-, -gdg-, -gdb- (not -gvd-, -gvg-, -gvb-).
- 5. As with the v.0.9.3.1 C_A structure, gemination is not a productive feature, so geminate pairs other than those already in the chart consist of separate morphological elements.

AFFILIATION		CONFIGURATION							KTENSION	PERSPECTIVE		CONTEXT + ESSENCE				
					SPE	SPECIFIC	[zero]						NRM	RPV		
CSD	[zero] (I)	UNIPLEX			РОТ	POTENTIAL	mţ	DEL	[zero]	MONADIC	[zero]	EXS	[zero]	y (ļy)		
ASO	l (tļ)			Similar	DBL	DOUBLE	ţ	PRX	s / z	POLYADIC	t/d (nn)	FNC	l (nļ)	w (ļw)		
VAR	ř		Separate Dissimilar		BIN	BINARY	ģ	ICP	f/ţ/v/ḍ (fţ)	NOMIC	k/g (ňň)	RPS	r (x)	ř (xx)		
COA	r			Fuzzy	DCH	DICHOTOMOUS	nţ	ATV	š / ž	ABSTRACT	p/b (mm)	AMG	h (lx)	hw (rx)		
		DUPLEX	Connected	Similar	DYA	DYADIC	f	GRA	ss / zz							
				Dissimilar	PAI	PAIRED	v	DPL	šš / žž							
				Fuzzy	моі	MOIETIVE	mf			-						
				Similar	DUA	DUALISTIC	ňf	Allomorphic Substitutions:								
			Fused	Dissimilar	CPD	COUPLED	ňv									
				Fuzzy	BIP	BI-OPERATIVE	$t+s(s) \rightarrow c(c)$ $t+\check{s}(\check{s}) \rightarrow \check{c}(\check{c})$ $d+z(z) \rightarrow \dot{z}(\dot{z})$ $d+\check{z}(\check{z}) \rightarrow j(j)$						i)			
				Similar	DCT	DISCRETE	t	In addi	In addition to the usual combinations above, the following allomorphic substitutions apply:							
			Separate	Dissimilar	AGG	AGGREGATE	d		$t_s(s)t \rightarrow (t)t_d$ $d_z(z) \rightarrow nd$ $nfs(s) \rightarrow nnz(z)$ $tft \rightarrow sd$							
				Fuzzy	MLT	MULTIFORM	n			ž(ž) → mợ		ňfš(š) → ňňž(ž)		np → <mark>mb</mark> ňk → ng		
		MULTI-	Connected	Similar	SEG	SEGMENTAL	р		-	ts(s) → nns(s)			tfk → sg tfp → sb			
		PLEX		Dissimilar	CPN	COMPONENTIAL	b			ţš(š) → nnš(š)						
				Fuzzy	ASL	ASSEMBLED	m	ţs(s)k	→ (ḍ)ḍk m	nfs(s) → <mark>mms(s)</mark> ňţs(s) →		iňs(s) dvg → z	dvg $\rightarrow zk$			
			Fused	Similar	СОН	COHERENT	k	ţš(š)p	o → (ḍ)ḍp m	nfš(š) → mmš	(<mark>š)</mark> ňţš(š) → ň	ňš(š)	$dvb \rightarrow zp$			
				Dissimilar	CST	COMPOSITE	g									
				Fuzzy	AGL	AGGLOMERATIVE	ň									

Here is the second, alternative version of the chart (with each combination of Configuration sub-categories having its own autonomous name/label):